

On quotients of affine superschemes over finite supergroups

A.N.Zubkov

Abstract

In this article we consider sheaf quotients of affine superschemes by finite supergroups that act on them freely. More precisely, if a finite supergroup G acts on an affine superscheme X freely, then the quotient K -sheaf X/G is again an affine superscheme Y , where $K[Y] \simeq K[X]^G$. Besides, $K[X]$ is a finitely presented projective $K[X]^G$ -module.

Introduction

In the present article we prove that if a finite supergroup G acts on an affine superscheme X freely, then the sheaf quotient X/G is again affine and isomorphic to $SSp R$, where $R = K[X]^G$. Moreover, we also prove that $K[X]$ is finitely presented projective R -module. This theorem generalizes the classical, purely even case (cf. [6, 5]). On the whole, we follow the ideas from [6] but there is a principal difference between purely even and super cases. In the classical case $K[X]$ is always integral over R . In the supercase it is not still true (see Example 3.1 below)! It happens as soon as the G -action is not free. In an equivalent formulation, for some finite supergroups 14-th Hilbert problem has the negative solution. To overcome this obstacle we exploit the freeness of our action and reduce the general case to the case, when G has not any proper normal supersubgroups.

1 Superalgebras and supermodules

In what follows all superalgebras are commutative. The category of commutative superalgebras with even morphisms is denoted by $SAlg_K$. If $A \in SAlg_K$, then the category of left (right) A -supermodules with even morphisms is denoted by $A - smod$ (respectively, $smod - A$). Remind that $A - smod \simeq smod - A$ [4]. More precisely, any $M \in A - smod$ has the structure of a right A -supermodule via $ma = (-1)^{|a||m|}am, a \in A, m \in M$.

Remind that any left or right maximal ideal $\mathcal{M}$ of a superalgebra A is a two-sided superideal [4], Lemma 1.1. Moreover, $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_0 \oplus A_1$, where $\mathcal{M}_0$ is a maximal ideal of A_0 . Let M be a free A -supermodule of (finite) superrank (m, n) . Take elements $m_1, \dots, m_{m+n} \in M$ such that $|m_i| = 0$ iff $1 \leq i \leq m$, otherwise $|m_i| = 1$.

Lemma 1.1 *The elements $m_1, \dots, m_{m+n}$ form a free basis of M iff their canonical images form a free basis of $A/radA$ -supermodule $M/(radA)M$.*

Proof. By Nakayama's lemma the supersubmodule $N = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m+n} Am_i$ coincides with M (cf. [1], Theorem 9.2.1(d)). Lemma 5.5 from [4] concludes the proof.

We say that an A -supermodule M is finitely generated, if M is an epimorphic image of a free A -supermodule of finite superrank. Besides, if the kernel of the above epimorphism is also finitely generated, then M is called *finitely presented*. It is obvious that M is finitely generated as a supermodule iff it is finitely generated as a module.

Lemma 1.2 *A supermodule M is finitely presented iff it is finitely presented as an A -module.*

Proof. Let $\phi : A^n = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n} Ae_i \rightarrow M$ be an epimorphism of A -modules such that $\ker \phi$ is a finitely generated A -submodule of A^n . Consider a free A -supermodule $A^{n|n}$ with a basis $e_{i,\epsilon}, |e_{i,\epsilon}| = \epsilon, 1 \leq i \leq n, \epsilon = 0, 1$. Denote $\phi(e_i)$ by m_i . Define the supermodule epimorphism $\psi : A^{n|n} \rightarrow M$ by $\psi(e_{i,\epsilon}) = m_{i,\epsilon}$, where $|m_{i,\epsilon}| = \epsilon$ and $m_{i,0} + m_{i,1} = m_i$. Since the elements m_i generate M , we have $m_{i,0} = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} a_{ij}m_j, 1 \leq i \leq n, a_{ij} \in A$. A diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^n & \xrightarrow{\phi} & M \\ p \swarrow & & \nearrow \psi \\ & A^{n|n} & \end{array},$$

where $p(e_{i,0}) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} a_{ij}e_j, p(e_{i,1}) = e_i - p(e_{i,0})$, is obviously commutative. Moreover, p is an epimorphism and $\ker p$ contains a submodule T , generated by the elements

$$e_{i,0} - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} a_{ij}(e_{j,0} + e_{j,1}), 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

As $A^{n|n}/T$ is generated by the residue classes of n elements $(e_{i,0} + e_{i,1})$, it follows that p induces an isomorphism $A^{n|n}/T \simeq A^n$. In particular, the supersubmodule $\ker \psi = p^{-1}(\ker \phi)$ is finitely generated.

Remark 1.1 *If a superalgebra A is finitely presented as a module over its supersubalgebra B , then A is finitely presented as a B -superalgebra.*

A superalgebra A is called semi-local iff A contains only finitely many maximal ideals. By the above, A is semi-local iff A_0 is semi-local. Let $\mathcal{N}_1, \dots, \mathcal{N}_t$ are all maximal ideals of A . It can be easily checked that *Chinese reminder Theorem* holds for two-sided ideals of any (not necessary commutative) algebra or ring (see for example [2], II, §1, Proposition 5). Thus

$$A/\text{rad}A = A / \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq t} \mathcal{N}_i \simeq \prod_{1 \leq i \leq t} A/\mathcal{N}_i$$

is a direct product of fields. Conversely, if $A/\text{rad}A$ is a direct product of finitely many fields, then A is semi-local. Besides, if L is an A -module, then

$$L/(\text{rad}A)L \simeq \prod_{1 \leq i \leq t} L/\mathcal{N}_iL.$$

Let A be a semi-local superalgebra and B be its local supersubalgebra whose maximal ideal $\mathcal{M}$ is contained in $\text{rad}A$. Let M be a free A -supermodule of finite superrank.

Lemma 1.3 *If N is a B -supersubmodule of M such that $AN = M$ and $B/\mathcal{M}$ is an infinite field, then N contains a free basis of M .*

Proof. Using Lemma 1.1 one can replace A, M, N by $A/\text{rad}A, M/(\text{rad}A)M$ and $(N + (\text{rad}A)M)/(\text{rad}A)M$ respectively. The final arguing can be copied from [6], III, §2, Lemma 4.7.

Let $C \in \text{SAlg}_K$ and S is a multiplicative subset of C_0 . One can define its left (right) $S^{-1}C$ -supermodule of fractions $S^{-1}M = S^{-1}C \otimes_C M$ (respectively, $MS^{-1} = M \otimes_C S^{-1}C$). It is clear that $S^{-1}M$, considered as a right $S^{-1}C$ -supermodule, is isomorphic to MS^{-1} . The isomorphism is given by $x \otimes m \rightarrow (-1)^{|x||m|}m \otimes x, x \in S^{-1}C, m \in M$.

Lemma 1.4 *If $M, N \in C - \text{smod}$, then $S^{-1}C$ -supermodules*

$$S^{-1}M \otimes_C N, M \otimes_C S^{-1}N, S^{-1}M \otimes_{S^{-1}C} S^{-1}N \text{ and } S^{-1}(M \otimes_C N)$$

are canonically isomorphic each to other.

Proof. Routine checking (see also [2], II, §2, Proposition 18).

Let A be a superalgebra and B be its supersubalgebra. We say that A is an *integral extension* of B (or A is *integral over B*) iff A_0 is an integral extension of B_0 . The following lemma is an obvious consequence of Lemma 1.2, [4].

Lemma 1.5 *If $B \subseteq A$ is integral and $\mathcal{P}$ is a prime ideal of B , then there is a prime ideal $\mathcal{Q}$ of A such that $\mathcal{Q} \cap B = \mathcal{P}$ (in particular, $\mathcal{P}A \neq A$). Moreover, $\mathcal{P}$ is maximal iff $\mathcal{Q}$ is maximal.*

We say that $\mathcal{Q}$ *lies over $\mathcal{P}$* . Notice that a maximal ideal of the superalgebra of fractions $A_{\mathcal{P}} = (B_0 \setminus \mathcal{P}_0)^{-1}A$ has a form $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{P}}$, where $\mathcal{Q}$ lies over $\mathcal{P}$. It infers that $A_{\mathcal{P}}$ is semi-local iff there are finitely many prime ideals of A those lie over $\mathcal{P}$. The last property is guarantied for any $\mathcal{P}$, whenever A_0 is a finitely generated B_0 -module (cf. [2], V, §2, Proposition 3).

The proof of the following lemma can be copied from Proposition 8 and Proposition 9, [2], II, §3.

Lemma 1.6 *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a maximal ideal of a superalgebra A and M be an A -supermodule. The canonical morphism $M/\mathcal{M}^t M \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{M}}/\mathcal{M}^t M_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a supermodule isomorphism.*

Lemma 1.7 *Let $u : M \rightarrow N$ be a morphism of A -supermodules. If N is finitely generated, then u is surjective iff for any maximal ideal $\mathcal{M}$ of A the induced morphism $M/\mathcal{M}M \rightarrow N/\mathcal{M}N$ is surjective.*

Proof. Combine Lemma 1.6 with Lemma 1.5 from [4] and argue as in Proposition 11, [2], II, §3.

Remark 1.2 *The statements of the above lemmas are still true, even if M and N are A -modules.*

Proposition 1.1 *Let $\phi : B \rightarrow A$ be a superalgebra morphism such that A is finitely generated B -module. If the induced morphism $SSp A \rightarrow SSp B$ is an inclusion of K -functors, then ϕ is an epimorphism.*

Proof. We use the following nice trick from [6], I, §5, 1.5 . The diagonal morphism $SSp A \rightarrow SSp A \times_{SSp B} SSp A$ is an isomorphism. By Yoneda's lemma the canonical superalgebra morphism $A \otimes_B A \rightarrow A$ by $a_1 \otimes a_2 \rightarrow a_1 a_2, a_1, a_2 \in A$, is an isomorphism. It implies that for any maximal ideal $\mathcal{N}$ of B we have the isomorphism $A/\mathcal{N}A \otimes_{B/\mathcal{N}} A/\mathcal{N}A \rightarrow A/\mathcal{N}A$. Comparing dimensions (over the field $B/\mathcal{N}$) we see that $B/\mathcal{N} \rightarrow A/\mathcal{N}$ is surjective. Lemma 1.7 concludes the proof.

2 Unipotent supergroups

In what follows a supersubgroup of an affine or algebraic supergroup is closed. We use notations and definitions from [4].

Let G be an algebraic supergroup. It is called *unipotent* iff any simple G -supermodule is one dimensional and trivial. It is easy to see that G is unipotent iff for any non-zero G -supermodule V its invariant subspace V^G is not zero also. By Proposition 6.2 from [4] there is a finite-dimensional G -supermodule V such that G is isomorphic to an supersubgroup of $GL(V)$. Since G is unipotent, there is a flag of G -supersubmodules

$$0 \subseteq V_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq V_r = V$$

such that for all $i \geq 1$ V_i/V_{i-1} is a trivial G -supermodule. Denote this flag by $\mathbf{V}$. Consider the subfunctor $U(\mathbf{V}) \subseteq GL(V)$ defined by

$$U(\mathbf{V})(A) = \{g \in Stab_{\mathbf{V}}(A) | g|_{V_i \otimes A} \text{ acts identically modulo } V_{i-1} \otimes A, i \geq 1\}, A \in SAlg_K.$$

It is clear that $U(\mathbf{V})$ is a supersubgroup of $GL(V)$. In fact, there is a basis $v_1, \dots, v_r$ of the superspace V such that $|v_i| = 0$ if $1 \leq i \leq m$, otherwise $|v_i| = 1$, and a unique substitution $\sigma \in S_r$ with $\sigma(1) < \dots < \sigma(m), \sigma(m+1) < \dots < \sigma(r)$. Besides, v_i generates $V_{\sigma(i)}/V_{\sigma(i)-1}$. The supersubgroup $U(\mathbf{V})$ is defined by $x_{ii} = 1$ and $x_{ji} = 0, 1 \leq i, j \leq r, \sigma(j) > \sigma(i)$. We also use for $U(\mathbf{V})$ the other notations, say U_σ or $U_\sigma(m|n)$, where $n = \dim V_1 = r - m$. By the above, $G \leq U_\sigma$.

Remark 2.1 *A supergroup U_σ is contained in $SL(V)$, that is $Ber(U_\sigma) = 1$. In fact, the berezinian Ber induces a supergroup epimorphism $GL(V) \rightarrow GL(1|0) = G_m$. In particular,*

$$K[U_\sigma] = K[x_{ij} | \sigma(i) < \sigma(j)] \simeq K[x_{ij} | 1 \leq i < j \leq r] \simeq K[\mathbf{A}^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2} + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} | mn}].$$

Lemma 2.1 *Let G be an algebraic supergroup. Assume that the superalgebra $K[G]$ has a G -supermodule (or equivalently, a right $K[G]$ -supercomodule) filtration*

$$0 \subseteq W_1 \subseteq W_2 \subseteq \dots$$

such that $\bigcup_{i \geq 1} W_i = K[G]$ and each factor W_i/W_{i-1} is a trivial G -supermodule. Then G is unipotent.

Proof. Let W be a simple G -supermodule and $f \in M^*, f \neq 0$. We have a supermodule morphism $g = (f \otimes id_{K[G]})\tau_W : W \rightarrow K[G]$ of the same parity as f . Since the preimages $g^{-1}(W_i)$ form a G -supermodule filtration of W and W is simple, we see that W is isomorphic to a factor W_i/W_{i-1} .

Proposition 2.1 *If G is isomorphic to a supersubgroup of $U_\sigma(m|n)$, then G is unipotent.*

Proof. One has to build an U_σ -supermodule filtration of $K[U_\sigma]$ as in Lemma 2.1. The superalgebra $R = K[U_\sigma]$ has a natural $\mathbf{N}$ -grading $R = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} R_k$, where each R_k is a

superspace. Ascribe to any monomial $m = x_{i_1 j_1} \dots x_{i_k j_k} \in R_k \setminus 0$ the weight $v(m) = \sum_{1 \leq t \leq k} (\sigma(j_t) - \sigma(i_t))$. It is easy to see that

$$\tau_R(m) - m \otimes 1 \in \sum_{m' \in R_k, v(m') < v(m)} m' \otimes R + \sum_{0 \leq s \leq k-1} R_s \otimes R.$$

In particular, we have a U_σ -supermodule filtration

$$0 \subseteq K = R_{1,0} \subseteq R_{1,2} \subseteq \dots \subseteq R_{k,t} \subseteq \dots,$$

where

$$R_{k,t} = \bigoplus_{0 \leq s \leq k-1} R_s \bigoplus \left(\sum_{m \in R_k, v(m) \leq t} Km \right), \quad k \geq 1, \quad 0 \leq t \leq (r-1)k.$$

Besides, all sequential factors of this filtration are sums of trivial U_σ -supermodules. Proposition is proved.

Corollary 2.1 *If G is unipotent, then any its supersubgroup and superfactorgroup is also unipotent.*

The supergroups $U_1(2|0)$ and $U_1(1|1)$ are usually denoted by G_a and G_a^- respectively. Besides, G_a is called *even* and G_a^- is called *odd* one-dimensional additive supergroup.

Lemma 2.2 *Let G be an algebraic supergroup and N be its normal supersubgroup such that N and $G/\tilde{N}$ are unipotent. Then G is unipotent.*

Proof. Let V be a simple G -supermodule. We know that $V^N \neq 0$ and V^N is the largest supersubspace of V whose coefficient (super)space belongs to $K[G]^N = K[G/\tilde{N}]$. Thus $V = V^N$ is a simple G/N -supermodule. In particular, V is one-dimensional and trivial.

Lemma 2.3 *Let $\pi : G \rightarrow H$ be an algebraic group epimorphism with the kernel N . If L is a supersubgroup of G , then $L/\tilde{L} \cap N$ is canonically isomorphic to $\text{Im} \pi|_L$.*

Proof. Notice that $\ker \pi|_L = L \cap N$ and use Theorem 6.1 from [4].

Proposition 2.2 *If G is unipotent, then G has a series of normal supersubgroups $1 \leq N_1 \trianglelefteq \dots \trianglelefteq N_t = G$ such that any factor $N_i/\tilde{N}_{i-1}$ belongs to $Z(G/\tilde{N}_{i-1})$ and isomorphic either to a supersubgroup of G_a or to G_a^- .*

Proof. By Lemma 2.3 all we have to prove is that such series exists in U_σ . For any $k \geq 1$ define a superideal I_k of $K[U_\sigma]$, generated by the elements x_{ij} with $\sigma(j) - \sigma(i) \leq k$. It can easily be checked that $U_{\sigma,k} = V(I_k) \trianglelefteq U_\sigma$. Indeed, the superalgebra $B_k = K[x_{ij} | \sigma(j) - \sigma(i) \leq k]$ is a Hopf supersubalgebra of $K[U_\sigma]$ and $U_{\sigma,k}$ coincides with the kernel of the epimorphism $U_\sigma \rightarrow \text{SSp } B_k$. In the same way,

$$U_{\sigma,k}/\tilde{U}_{\sigma,k+1} \simeq \text{SSp } B_{k+1}/B_{k+1}B_k^+ \simeq \text{SSp } K[x_{ij} | \sigma(j) - \sigma(i) = k+1] \simeq (G_a)^s \times (G_a^-)^l,$$

where s (respectively, l) is the number of even (respectively, odd) elements among $\{x_{ij} | \sigma(j) - \sigma(i) = k+1\}$. It remains to check that $U_{\sigma,k}/\tilde{U}_{\sigma,k+1} \leq Z(U_\sigma/\tilde{U}_{\sigma,k+1})$. It is equivalent to the statement that the superalgebra morphism

$$K[U_{\sigma,k}/\tilde{U}_{\sigma,k+1}] \otimes K[U_\sigma/\tilde{U}_{\sigma,k+1}] \rightarrow K[U_{\sigma,k}/\tilde{U}_{\sigma,k+1}],$$

induced by ν_l , coincides with the morphism $f \rightarrow f \otimes 1, f \in K[U_{\sigma,k}/\tilde{U}_{\sigma,k+1}]$. The last one is dual to the projection

$$U_{\sigma,k}/\tilde{U}_{\sigma,k+1} \times U_{\sigma}/\tilde{U}_{\sigma,k+1} \rightarrow U_{\sigma,k}/\tilde{U}_{\sigma,k+1}.$$

Since

$$\nu_l(x_{ij}) = \sum_{t_1, t_2, \sigma(i) \leq \sigma(t_1) < \sigma(t_2) \leq \sigma(j)} (-1)^{|x_{t_1, t_2}| |x_{i, t_1}|} x_{t_1, t_2} \otimes x_{i, t_1} s_{U_{\sigma}}(x_{t_2, j}),$$

we obtain that $\nu_l(x_{ij}) = x_{ij} \otimes 1$ modulo $B_{k+1} B_k^+$. Proposition is proved.

3 Proof of the main theorem

Let G be an algebraic supergroup. Assume that G acts on an affine superscheme X . Denote the corresponding morphism of (affine) superschemes $X \times G \rightarrow X$ by ϕ_0 . For the reader's convenience we remind some basic notations and facts from [6], III, §2-4. The squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X \times G \times G & \xrightarrow{\phi'_0} & X \times G & X \times G \times G & \xrightarrow{\phi'_1} & X \times G & X \times G \times G & \xrightarrow{\phi'_1} & X \times G \\ \phi'_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_1 & \phi'_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_0 & \phi'_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_1 \\ X \times G & \xrightarrow{\phi_0} & X & X \times G & \xrightarrow{\phi_0} & X & X \times G & \xrightarrow{\phi_1} & X \end{array}$$

are cartesian, where $\phi_1 = pr_X, \phi'_2 = pr_{X \times G}$ and $\phi'_0(x, g, h) = (xg, h), \phi'_1(x, g, h) = (x, gh), x \in X(A), g, h \in G(A), A \in SAlg_K$. The morphism of superalgebras $K[X] \rightarrow K[X] \otimes K[G]$, dual to ϕ_0 (respectively, dual to ϕ_1), is denoted by τ_X (respectively, by i_X). The supersubalgebra of (co)invariants $K[X]^G = \ker(\tau_X - i_X)$ is denoted by R . Since ϕ_0 has a left inverse $\sigma(x) = (x, 1), x \in X(A), A \in SAlg_K$, the couple (X, ϕ_0) is a cokernel of the pair morphisms (ϕ'_0, ϕ'_1) (in the category of K -functors!). Dualizing we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K[X] \otimes K[G]^{\otimes 2} & \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\delta'_0} \\ \xleftarrow{\delta'_1} \end{array} & K[X] \otimes K[G] & \xleftarrow{\tau_X} & K[X] \\ \delta'_2 \uparrow & & i_X \uparrow & & i \uparrow \\ K[X] \otimes K[G] & \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\tau_X} \\ \xleftarrow{i_X} \end{array} & K[X] & \xleftarrow{i} & R \end{array},$$

where $\delta'_0 = \tau_X \otimes id_{K[G]}, \delta'_1 = id_{K[X]} \otimes \delta_G, \delta'_2 = id_{K[X] \otimes K[G]} \otimes 1$. Its horizontal lines are exact and the left square is composed from cocartesian squares those are dual to the above first and third cartesian ones. We call this diagram *basic*.

From now on we assume that all supergroups are finite unless otherwise stated. Without loss of generality one can assume that K is algebraically closed. The K -functor morphism $(\phi_1, \phi_0) : X \times G \rightarrow X \times_{SSp R} X$ is dual to the morphism of superalgebras

$$\psi : K[X] \otimes_R K[X] \rightarrow K[X] \otimes K[G]$$

defined as

$$f \otimes h \rightarrow \sum f h_1 \otimes h_2, \tau_X(h) = \sum h_1 \otimes h_2, f, h, h_1 \in K[X], h_2 \in K[G]$$

(see [4, 5]). We denote $\dim K[G]$ by $|G|$ and call it the *order* of G . The maximal ideal $\ker \epsilon_G$ is denoted by $\mathcal{M}$.

Lemma 3.1 *If G acts on X freely, then ψ is surjective.*

Proof. Notice that (ϕ_1, ϕ_0) is an injective K -functor morphism and $Im\psi$ contains $K[X] \otimes 1$. It remains to refer to Proposition 1.1.

Lemma 3.2 *Let B be a supersubalgebra of a superalgebra A . Then :*

- 1) *If A is a finitely generated B -module, then A is integral over B ;*
- 2) *If A is a finitely generated superalgebra and integral over B , then A is a finitely generated B -module and B is a finitely generated superalgebra.*

Proof. To prove the first statement we fix a finite set of generators of B_0 -module A_0/B_1A_1 . Using Cayley-Hamilton's theorem we see that for any $a \in A_0$ there is a unitary polynomial $f(t) \in B_0[t]$ such that $f(a)A_0 \subseteq B_1A_1$. In particular, $f(a) \in B_1A_1$ and since AA_1 is nil, it is done. For the second statement notice that B_0 is finitely generated. Since A is a finitely generated A_0 -module, it implies that A is a finitely generated B_0 -module. In particular, B_1 is a finitely generated B_0 -module.

Proposition 3.1 *Assume that $K[X]$ is a finitely generated R -module and $K[X]_0$ is a finitely generated algebra. Then $X/G \simeq SSp R$, provided G acts freely on X . Besides, $K[X]$ is a projective R -module.*

Proof. One has to *superize* [6], III, 4.6. More precisely, we prove that ψ is a superalgebra isomorphism and $K[X]$ is a projective R -module. By Lemma 1.4 one can replace R and $K[X]$ by $R_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $K[X]_{\mathcal{P}}$, where $\mathcal{P}$ is a prime ideal of R . In other words, one can assume that R is local and $K[X]$ is semi-local. Since ψ is a $K[X]$ -supermodule morphism, Lemma 1.3 and Lemma 3.1 infer that there are elements $f_1, \dots, f_{m+n} \in K[X]$, where $|f_i| = 0, 1 \leq i \leq m = \dim K[G]_0, |f_i| = 1, m+1 \leq i \leq m+n, n = \dim K[G]_1$, such that $\tau_X(f_1), \dots, \tau_X(f_{m+n})$ form a basis of the free $K[X]$ -supermodule $K[X] \otimes K[G]$. Let V be a superspace of superdimension (m, n) with a basis $v_1, \dots, v_{m+n}$ such that $|v_i| = |f_i|, 1 \leq i \leq m+n$. Tensoring by V the bottom line of the basic diagram we obtain a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 K[X] \otimes K[G]^{\otimes 2} & \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\delta'_0} \\ \xleftarrow{\delta'_1} \end{array} & K[X] \otimes K[G] & \xleftarrow{\tau_X} & K[X] \\
 u_2 \uparrow & & u_1 \uparrow & & u_0 \uparrow \\
 V \otimes K[X] \otimes K[G] & \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{V \otimes \tau_X} \\ \xleftarrow{V \otimes i_X} \end{array} & V \otimes K[X] & \xleftarrow{i} & V \otimes R
 \end{array} ,$$

where $u_0(v_i \otimes r) = f_i r, u_1(v_i \otimes f) = \tau_X(f_i) i_X(f), u_2(v_i \otimes t) = \delta'_0(\tau_X(f_i)) \delta'_2(t)$. By definition, u_1 is an isomorphism of $K[X]$ -supermodules. As in [6] we conclude that u_2 is an isomorphism (of superspaces) and therefore, u_0 is. In particular, $K[X]$ is a free R -supermodule and the elements f_i form its basis. Returning to the general case, by Lemma 1.5 from [4] we obtain that ψ is an isomorphism and $K[X]$ is a projective R -module by [9], Theorem A.2.4. By Lemma 1.5 (see also [2], I, §2, Proposition 1) $K[X]$ is a faithfully flat (left and right) R -module. Proposition 4.2, [4], concludes the proof.

Let a group K -sheaf G acts on a K -sheaf X freely. If $A, B \in SAlg_K$ and B is a fppf covering of A , then we denote $B \succeq A$. Notice that $\succeq$ is a (partial) direct order. If X is a K -functor, the kernel of maps $X(A) \xrightarrow{X(i_1)} X(B \otimes_A B)$, where $i_1(a) = a \otimes 1, i_2(a) = 1 \otimes a, a \in A$, is denoted by $X(B, A)$ (see [4, 5] for more definitions and notations).

Proposition 3.2 *Let N be a normal group K -subfunctor of G . Then the group K -sheaf $\tilde{G}/N$ acts freely on $Y = \tilde{X}/N$ and $Y/\tilde{H} \simeq \tilde{X}/G$.*

Proof. Denote the "naive" factors

$$A \rightarrow G(A)/N(A), A \rightarrow X(A)/N(A), A \in SAlg_K,$$

by $H_{(n)}$ and $Y_{(n)}$ correspondingly. Consider $h \in H(A), y \in Y(A)$. There is a fppf-covering $B \succeq A$ such that $h' = H(\iota_A^B)(g) \in H_{(n)}(B, A), y' = Y(\iota_A^B)(y) \in Y_{(n)}(B, A)$. By the normality of N , the group functor $H_{(n)}$ acts canonically on $Y_{(n)}$. In particular, $y'h' \in Y_{(n)}(B, A) \subseteq Y(B, A)$. Since Y is a sheaf, one can define $yh = Y(\iota_A^B)^{-1}(y'h') \in Y(A)$. This definition does not depend on the choice of B . In fact, let C be another fppf-covering of A . Then $D = B \otimes_A C \succeq B, C$ (see [4, 5]). Thus $D \succeq A$. Set

$$H(\iota_A^C)(h) = h'', Y(\iota_A^C)(y) = y'', H(\iota_A^D)(h) = h''', Y(\iota_A^D)(y) = y''.$$

We have

$$H(\iota_B^D)(h') = H(\iota_C^D)(h'') = h''', Y(\iota_B^D)(y') = Y(\iota_C^D)(y'') = y'''.$$

It follows that $Y(\iota_B^D)(y'h') = Y(\iota_C^D)(y''h'') = y'''h'''$. On the other hand, all morphisms $Y(\iota_A^D)$ are mono and therefore,

$$Y(\iota_A^D)^{-1}(y'''h''') = Y(\iota_B^D)^{-1}(y'h') = Y(\iota_C^D)^{-1}(y''h'').$$

Similarly, one can prove that H acts on Y freely. To prove that the above action is functorial on the argument $A \in SAlg_K$ one can mimic the proof of Lemma 2.3 from [4].

Finally, let $\rho : X \rightarrow Z$ be a K -sheaf morphism such that $\rho(A)(xg) = \rho(A)(x)$ for all $x \in X(A), g \in G(A), A \in SAlg_K$. There is a unique morphism $\alpha : Y \rightarrow Z$ satisfying $\rho = \pi\alpha$, where $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ is the canonical factor-morphism. More precisely, for any $y \in Y(A)$ and for a fppf covering $B \succeq A$ such that $Y(\iota_A^B)(y) = xN(B), x \in X(B)$, we set $\alpha(A)(y) = Z(\iota_A^B)^{-1}(\rho(B)(x))$ [5, 4]. Comparing with the definition of the H -action on Y we see that α is constant on H -orbits. In particular, there is a unique morphism $\beta : \tilde{Y}/\tilde{H} \rightarrow Z$ such that $\beta\pi' = \alpha$, where $\pi' : Y \rightarrow \tilde{Y}/\tilde{H}$ is the corresponding factor-morphism. In other words, morphism $\pi'\pi : X \rightarrow \tilde{Y}/\tilde{H}$ is the required factor-morphism. Theorem is proved.

Remark 3.1 *The same statement can be proved for dur K -sheafs.*

Lemma 3.3 *An (not necessary finite) algebraic supergroup G acts freely on an affine superscheme X iff the ideal J of $K[X] \otimes K[G]$, generated by the elements $\tau_X(f) - f \otimes 1, f \in K[X]$, contains $1 \otimes \mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. If $1 \otimes \mathcal{M}$ is not contained in J , then set $A = K[X] \otimes K[G]/J$ and define

$$\alpha(f) = f \otimes 1 + J, g(h) = 1 \otimes h + J, f \in K[X], h \in K[G].$$

It is obvious that $g \in \text{Stab}_{G(A)}(\alpha) \setminus 1$. Conversely, if $g \in \text{Stab}_{G(A)}(\alpha), \alpha \in X(A)$, then $\alpha \otimes g(\tau_X(f) - f \otimes 1) = 0$ for any $f \in K[X]$, where $\alpha \otimes g(f \otimes h) = \alpha(f)g(h)$. The inclusion $1 \otimes \mathcal{M} \subseteq J$ implies $g(\mathcal{M}) = 0$.

Now, everything is prepared to prove the main theorem. At first, assume that $K[X]$ is finitely generated. Using induction on $|G|$ we prove that $K[X]$ is a finitely generated $K[X]^G$ -module and then apply Proposition 3.1. If G has a proper normal supersubgroup N , then by the inductive hypothesis $K[X]$ is a finitely generated $K[X]^N$ -module. By Proposition 3.1 $X/\tilde{N} \simeq \text{SSp } K[X]^N$ and by Proposition 3.2 $G/\tilde{N}$ acts on $X/\tilde{N}$ freely. Since by Lemma 3.2 $K[X]^N$ is finitely generated, again the inductive hypothesis infers that $K[X]^N$ is a finitely generated $K[X]^G = (K[X]^N)^{G/\tilde{N}}$ -module. Thus $K[X]$ is a finitely generated $K[X]^G$ -module. So, it remains to prove that $K[X]$ is a finitely generated $K[X]^G$ -module, whenever G has not proper normal supersubgroups. In particular, G is either connected or purely even and etale (cf. [4]). Assume that G is connected and $G \neq 1$.

Proposition 3.3 *If $\text{char } K = 0$, then $G \simeq G_a^-$.*

Proof. As it was noticed in [4], $\mathcal{M} = r + K[G]e$, where r is the radical of $K[G]$ and e is the sum of primitive idempotents belonging to $\mathcal{M}$. Besides, $I_{G^{(0)}} = \bigcap_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{M}^t = K[G]e$. Since $G = G^{(0)}$, it follows that $e = 0$ and $\mathcal{M} = r = K[G]K[G]_1$. In particular, $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{M}^2$ is purely odd that implies $\text{Lie}(G)_0 = 0$ and $\text{Lie}(G)_1^2 = 0$. In other words, $\text{Lie}(G)$ is abelian and as in [4] we conclude that G is abelian. By Lemma 9.5, [4], for any finite-dimensional G -supermodule V the equality $V^G = V^{\text{Lie}(G)}$ holds. In fact, V^G is naturally identified with $\text{Hom}_G(K, V)$, where K is regarded as one-dimensional trivial G -supermodule. Identify $\text{Lie}(G)$ with an odd abelian supersubalgebra L of $\text{gl}(V)$. Then for all $x, y \in L$ we have $xy = -yx$. Let A be an associative subalgebra of $\text{End}_K(V)$ without unit, generated by L . It is clear that $A^{\dim L + 1} = 0$ and by Engel's theorem there is a vector $v \in V$ such that $Av = 0$. In particular, G is unipotent. Proposition 2.2 concludes the proof.

Remark 3.2 *Proposition 3.3 infers that over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, any finite supergroup is an extension of abelian unipotent supersubgroup by an even etale group. It seems to be very likely that such extension have to be split (for the classical case see Theorem 3.3 from [7]). We hope to check all details in a next article and to get rid of the assumption about the ground field to be algebraically closed.*

Let $G = G_a^-$. Remind that

$$K[G] = K[t], |t| = 1, \delta_G(t) = t \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes t, \epsilon_G(t) = 0, s_G(t) = -t.$$

Lemma 3.4 *A G -supermodule structure on a superspace V is uniquely defined by an odd (locally finite) endomorphism $\phi : V \rightarrow V, \phi^2 = 0$. Precisely, $\tau_V(v) = v \otimes 1 + \phi(v) \otimes t$ and therefore, $V^G = \ker \phi$.*

Proof. Straightforward calculations.

By Lemma 3.4 $\tau_X(f) = f \otimes 1 + \phi(f) \otimes t$, $f \in K[X]$, where $\phi \in \text{End}_K(K[X])_1$ and $\phi^2 = 0$. Since τ_X is a superalgebra morphism, we obtain that $\phi(f_1 f_2) = f_1 \phi(f_2) + (-1)^{|f_2|} \phi(f_1) f_2$, $f_1, f_2 \in K[X]$. In other words, ϕ is a right (odd) superderivation.

Lemma 3.5 *The supergroup G acts on X freely iff there is $f \in K[X]_1$ such that $\phi(f) \in K[X]^*$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.3 G acts on X freely iff there are $h_1, \dots, h_n \in K[X]_1$ and $f_1, \dots, f_n \in K[X]_0$ such that $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} f_i \phi(h_i) = 1$. Thus

$$\phi\left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} f_i h_i\right) = 1 - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \phi(f_i) h_i \in K[X]^*.$$

Lemma 3.6 *The superalgebra $K[X]$ is a free R -supermodule of rank 2.*

Proof. Consider $g \in K[X]_1$ such that $g = \phi(f) \in K[X]^*$. Set $z = fg^{-1}$. Since $\phi(z) = 1$, for any $h \in K[X]$ we have $\phi(hz) = h - \phi(h)z$. Thus $K[X] = R + Rz$. If $h \in R \cap Rz$, then $h = rz$, $r \in R$, and therefore, $0 = \phi(h) = r$.

Example 3.1 (see [4], section 10) *Consider a $G = G_a^-$ -supermodule V with a basis v_1, v_2 , $|v_1| = 0, |v_2| = 1$, such that $\phi(v_1) = v_2, \phi(v_2) = 0$ in the above notations. The symmetric superalgebra $S(V)$ has the induced G -supermodule structure by*

$$\tau_{S(V)}(v_1^r) = v_1^r \otimes 1 + r v_1^{r-1} v_2 \otimes t, \quad \tau_{S(V)}(v_1^{r-1} v_2) = v_1^{r-1} v_2 \otimes 1, r \geq 0.$$

By Lemma 3.3 the induced G -action on $X = \text{SSp } S(V)$ is not free. Moreover, $K[X] = S(V)$ is not finitely generated R -module neither integral over B , provided $\text{char } K = 0$. In fact, the superalgebra $R = K \oplus (\bigoplus_{r \geq 1} K v_1^{r-1} v_2)$ is not finitely generated. In [4] it was also proved that $K[X]$ is not any flat R -module. Notice that the final conclusion in [4] is not completely correct. Indeed, Proposition 4.1 holds for free actions which is not the case.

Let $\text{char } K = p > 0$. An algebraic supergroup H is called *infinitesimal supergroup of height 1* iff $h^p = 0$ for any $h \in \mathcal{M}$.

Lemma 3.7 *If $\text{char } K = p > 0$ and G is connected, then G is infinitesimal supergroup of height 1. In particular, $K[X]$ is a finitely generated $K[X]^G$ -module.*

Proof. As above, $\mathcal{M} = \ker \epsilon_G = r$. We have a series $1 \leq G_1 \leq G_2 \leq \dots \leq G$, where each G_n is a n -th infinitesimal supersubgroup (cf. [4, 5]). Since any G_n is a normal supersubgroup of G , we have either $G_1 = 1$ and $K[G] = F(K[G]) = \{f^p | f \in K[G]\}$ (see the notice before Lemma 8.2, [4]), or $G_1 = G$. The equality $K[G] = F(K[G])$ implies $r = F(r)$. The nilpotence of r infers $r = 0$ and $G = 1$. The last case $G = G_1$ is equivalent to $f^p = 0$ for any $f \in \mathcal{M}$. Finally, for any $f \in K[X]$ we have $\tau_X(f) = f \otimes 1 + \sum f_1 \otimes h_2$, where each h_2 belongs to $\mathcal{M}$. Thus $\tau_X(f^p) = f^p \otimes 1$, that is $f^p \in K[X]^G$. Lemma 3.2 concludes the proof.

Now, let G be even and etale. It is well known that $K[G] \simeq (K\Gamma)^*$, where Γ is a finite group and $K\Gamma$ is its group algebra, endowed with Hopf algebra structure by $\delta_{K\Gamma}(\gamma) = \gamma \otimes \gamma, s_{K\Gamma}(\gamma) = \gamma^{-1}, \gamma \in \Gamma$ (see [5], part I (8.5, 8.21) and [8], 2.3, 6.4, or see [6],

II, §5, 2.4). Therefore, $K[G]$ is generated by the idempotents e_γ , such that $e_\gamma(\gamma') = \delta_{\gamma, \gamma'}$ and

$$\epsilon(e_\gamma) = \delta_{\gamma, 1}, \delta_G(e_\gamma) = \sum_{\gamma' \in \Gamma} e_{\gamma'} \otimes e_{\gamma'^{-1}\gamma}, s_G(e_\gamma) = e_{\gamma^{-1}}, \gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma.$$

A vector superspace V is called Γ -*supermodule* iff it is a Γ -module and any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ acts on V as an even operator. The category of Γ -supermodules with even morphisms is denoted by $\Gamma - smod$. If $V \in \Gamma - smod$, then it has a G -supermodule structure by

$$\tau_V(v) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma v \otimes e_\gamma, v \in V.$$

This correspondence defines an equivalence of categories. In particular, G acts on an affine superscheme X iff $K[X]$ is a Γ -supermodule and any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ acts as a superalgebra automorphism. Since

$$K[X]^G = K[X]^\Gamma = K[X]_0^\Gamma \bigoplus K[X]_1^\Gamma$$

this case is also done.

It remains to consider the case when $K[X]$ is not finitely generated. Since any $K[G]$ -supercomodule is locally finite, the superalgebra $K[X]$ is a direct union of its finitely generated subalgebras $B_i, i \in I$, such that each B_i is a G -supersubmodule of $K[X]$. In other words, G acts on any $SSp B_i$ and the canonical morphism $SSp B_i \rightarrow X$ commutes with this action. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is finite-dimensional, by Lemma 3.3 one can assume that G acts freely on each $SSp B_i$. By the above, for any $i \in I$ the superalgebra B_i is a faithfully flat (left and right) $R_i = B_i^G$ -module and the canonical morphism $B_i \otimes_{R_i} B_i \rightarrow B_i \otimes K[G]$ is an isomorphism. Thus $K[X] = \varinjlim B_i$ is a faithfully flat (left and right) $R = \varinjlim R_i$ -module (cf. Lemma 7.1, III, §3, [6]) and

$$K[X] \otimes_R K[X] = \varinjlim B_i \otimes_{R_i} B_i \simeq \varinjlim B_i \otimes K[G] = K[X] \otimes K[G].$$

Use Proposition 11, [2], I, §3, and the above isomorphism (of $K[X]$ -modules) one can conclude that $K[X]$ is finitely presented. Exercise 15 from [2], I, §2, implies that $K[X]$ is also a projective R -module. Remark 1.1 and Proposition 4.2, [4], infer $X/G \simeq SSp R$.

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by RFFI 07-01-00392.

References

- [1] F. Kasch, *Modules and rings*, LMS Monographs, 17, Academic Press, Inc., 1982.
- [2] N.Bourbaki, *Commutative Algebra*, Addison-Wesley, 1972.
- [3] M.Demazure and P.Gabriel, *Groupes algebriques I*, Paris/Amsterdam, 1970.
- [4] A.N.Zubkov, *Affine quotients of supergroups*, arXiv : math/0804.3493v2.
- [5] J.Jantzen, *Representations of algebraic groups*, Academic Press, Inc., 1987.

- [6] M.Demazure and P.Gabriel, *Groupes algebriques I*, Paris/Amsterdam, 1970.
- [7] B. Kostant, *Graded manifolds, graded Lie theory, and prequantization*, Differ. geom. Meth. math. Phys., Proc. Symp. Bonn 1975, Lect. Notes Math. 570 (1977), 177-306.
- [8] W.C.Waterhouse, *Introduction to affine group schemes*, Springer-Verlag, 1979.
- [9] L.Caston and R.Fioresi, *Mathematical foundations of supersymmetry*, arXiv : math/0710.5742v1.